



**Minutes of the One Nottingham
Board Meeting
14th July 2017
10.30am – 12.30
LH2.11 Loxley House**

Present:

Andrew Redfern - Framework HA, VCS Advocate - **AR**
 Candida Brudenell – Assistant Chief Executive, Nottingham City Council - **CB**
 Clare Stafford – Boots, Business Representative - **CS**
 Sarah Clarke, Archdeacon of Nottingham, Faith Representative - **SC**
 David Tilly, Geldards, Business Representative – **DT**
 Sajid Mohammed, Karimia Institute, VCS Faith Advocate – **SM**
 Jane Todd – Chair of One Nottingham Board - **JT**
 Mike Khouri-Bent, LET’s Move Nottingham, Business Representative - **MKB**
 Prof Cecile Wright, Nottingham Equal VCS BME Advocate – **CW (Chair)**
 Audra Wynter - Wyntax, Business Representative - **AW**
 Dr Hugh Porter, Clinical Commissioning Group, Nottingham, Health Representative – **HP**

In attendance:

Lorel Manders – One Nottingham – **LM**
 Pat Wynne – **PW (Minutes)**
 Shelley Harrod – Nottingham City Council – **SH**
 Dean Goodburn – Nottingham City Council - **DG**

Apologies received:

Cllr Toby Neil, Nigel Cooke, Mike Carr, Cllr Leslie Ayoola, Ted Antill, Dawnay Robinson

Item	Agenda Item	Action
1.	<p>Welcome and apologies for absence JT welcomed everyone to the meeting, introductions were made and apologies were noted. CS was welcomed to her first meeting and a number of changes to Board Membership were noted: Clare Stafford, Boots, new Business Representative Cllr Jon Collins has stepped down from the Board and Cllr Leslie Ayoola appointed. Stephanie Sirr from Nottingham Playhouse appointed as the Strategic Cultural Partnership Representative. Dawnay Robinson has stepped down as the Youth Representative and a new member to be appointed.</p>	NC
2.	<p>Minutes and Matters arising from the previous board meeting The minutes from the last meeting were accepted as true record of the 12 May 2017 Meeting.</p> <p>Matters Arising</p>	

	<p>Actions not covered on the agenda: Inclusive Growth/ Nottingham Plan post 2020 – NC met with Paula Black, JT and Cllr Neal and a proposal is being developed. A scoping event for partners will be held in the Autumn.</p> <p>Partnership Funding Group meeting to take place at the end of summer – AW and CW agreed to join the group.</p> <p>Discussion around policing and recruitment – CB reported that she had attended a police planning day and there were strong recommendation around change and all elements of police planning, cybercrime, hate crime, online crime, cohesion, neighbourhood policing and knife crime. There is no proposal to reintroduce city police team.</p>	<p>NC/DG/LM</p> <p>NC/SM/AW/CW</p>
<p>3.</p>	<p>Citizens Survey (Presentation and Discussion) SH gave a presentation on the results of the 2016 Citizens Survey. This is a face to face survey, carried out to target Wards by age, gender on what is representative of the city, to an 18+ age group. Highlights – consistent improvements to questions around managing to pay b and mental health and wellbeing. 85% of people thought they were fairly treated Nottingham proved better than other areas. Survey results were compared against results from Derby, and will be used as a bench mark. There were no significant changes to targets.</p> <p>Further work will now take place to look at any specific concerns highlighted, such as: People with disabilities were significantly unsatisfied for the second year. Area 1 had significant differences and North Nottingham in satisfaction levels, further research is required to drill down further and identify causes. Outcomes will be reported to the Corporate Leadership Team at the City Council. There was a query as to whether there is a different perception by people between Nottingham Homes and Nottingham City Council (NCC)? SH offered to provide further detail on any areas Board members were interested in. DT congratulated NCC on the results and asked if it was possible to identify where specific policies and interventions have had an impact?</p>	<p>SH</p>
<p>4.</p>	<p>Active Lives Update HP gave an update on the bid to be part of the Sport England (SE) Active Lives national programme. We are in the second round, last 19, and there is tough competition out there, particularly from other cities. With SE and City of Football history we should stand in good stead. There is a meeting with SE on 1 August, and a further detailed Bid will need to be submitted. JT Added that there was an advantage to having ON to provide the governance as the only cross partnership strategic group involved. All agreed we had done very well to have got this far. CB enquired if any development funding had been attached to the 2nd round bid process as this had significantly helped with the Small Steps</p>	

	Big Changes (SSBC) bidding process, providing training on pitching. HP agreed this would be helpful and JT advised that there may be some funding available through SSBC system change work.	HP/NC/JT
5.	<p>VCS Strategic Voice Update</p> <p>AR gave some context and background to this work, which is an example of an original idea, starting a year ago which ON has taken forward as a partnership. Members of the VCS were concerned at the lack of structure or discussion for the VCS to engage at a strategic level on city issues. Thanks to the support of MKB a piece of work was undertaken looking at creating a Strategic Reference Group (SRG).</p> <p>A first meeting has now taken place, at which 6 strategic themes were agreed to work on over the first 12 months. The group will meet initially every 2 months in order to have a momentum and AR will chair for the first year. Members of the SRG were appointed on the basis of their individual expertise and experience, alongside the organisation they represented. Should a member leave it was agreed that membership would be reviewed by the SRG. The challenge is now there for the VCS to act more strategically, and a review will take place after 12 months to look at the impact. It was agreed that CW would join the SRG, as the BME Voluntary sector representative and a member of Nottingham Equal. SM will be copied into papers for information.</p> <p>The SRG also discussed the VCS representation on the ON Board, which it had been agreed, as part of the governance review would be considered when the SRG was active. A proposal for representation and method of appointment will be developed and brought to the Board for approval. Current representatives were appointed though an election process, but this took a lot of resources to organise. DT commented that it was also important for the ON Board to function well and get the right people, and he valued the input of current members.</p> <p>In order to facilitate this work, the Board agreed to the extension of the current tenures of the One Nottingham Board VCS Representatives and the Faith Representative, for a further 12 months at the latest, pending the development and implementation of a process.</p> <p>HP commented that the establishment of the SRG was welcome and that the Health and Wellbeing Board would welcome a way of linking the SRG to its work. JT –added that we need to think how we can weave this in and link it to system change and the development of the new Nottingham Plan.</p>	<p>CW/LM</p> <p>NC/AR/LM</p>
6.	<p>IICSA Briefing</p> <p>LM updated the board on the briefing that had taken place for Board members on the IICSA enquiry into the historic child sex abuse claims. (See notes of meeting and leaflet circulated).</p> <p>Work looking at the scope of the enquiry and timescales is continuing, and nothing further has yet been issued. The National Enquiry will be coming to Nottingham.</p>	

	<p>Board members were asked to notify colleagues and partners of what is happening and a further briefing will be held in 6 months' time.</p> <p>Further information and links to the City Council and IICSA websites are included in the leaflet.</p> <p>CB commented that this was a huge piece of work across organisations – City and County Council / Voluntary Sectors/Police. NCC is 1 of 3 councils in the first tranche, following Lambeth and Rotherham.</p> <p>SC added that there is a cultural shift in the country, this should be talked about. There is now an improvement of crime reporting, which is a good thing and she valued the partnership approach taking place.</p>	LM/NC
7.	<p>Boundary Commission Changes</p> <p>DG introduced the report on the proposed Boundary Commission Changes. The paper is for information there are 2 consultations taking place, covering reviews of parliamentary constituencies and ward boundaries, which are both independent of each other.</p> <p>It is possible for the ON Board to comment on the proposals and revised proposals will be published in the Autumn.</p> <p>The boost in the electoral register around the 2017 General Election is not taken into account as it is based on an earlier version.</p> <p>There were questions around voting and age and the deeper issue around people not being on the electoral register. Students should be targeted and encouraged during registration at University. Maybe something should be done at local level to get people to register, vote and discuss democracy.</p> <p>The higher education bill for students to sign up at registration was discussed and whether local universities supported this.</p> <p>Regarding the ward boundary review, Nottingham has 2 Cllrs per ward, and the proposals are trying to even this out across the city, it is 17 years since the last review. The NCC recommendation is that there is a business case for 55 Cllrs across the city. JT pointed out that 2 tier, – district councils have more representation as citizens have both County and District councillors.</p> <p>HP Commented that any changes would have massive implications for partners who based a lot of their work around the current ward and city boundaries, particularly health and integrated care teams.</p> <p>It was agreed that ON would put in a response.</p>	NC/DG
8.	<p>One Nottingham Awards</p> <p>LM introduced the report giving an update on the awards that ON supports.</p> <p>The 2017 YC Awards took place in May – feedback was really good on the night and on social media. Plans for next year which will be our 10 year anniversary are being developed, which may include a new category of drama and performance. There will be no specific theme for 2018.</p>	

	<p>DT gave an update on the work of the YCN trustees, who are focussing on increasing financial support. There is a need to secure higher levels of funding, to sustain the awards and develop them further. This is a difficult climate to attract new funding, but positive communication is taking place.</p> <p>LM also updated on the Nottingham Awards 2017, Black Achievers Awards and Dr Michael Varnum Awards and requested volunteers to join judging panels and attend. Nominations for the Nottingham Awards are now live on the ON website and board members are encouraged to nominate people for the awards. http://www.onenottingham.org.uk/?p=5119</p> <p>It was agreed that an email would be circulated to all Board Members before representation was finally agreed, in case anyone not present wanted to be included. The following put themselves forward: Nottingham Awards – judging panel and planning: SM, MKB, CW, AW, DT Black Achievers Awards – DT, MKB, HP agreed to judge and attend the Dr Michael Varnum Awards</p>	<p>All</p> <p>LM</p>
<p>9.</p>	<p>Community Cohesion and the Implications of the EU Referendum Update (verbal update)</p> <p>SM – gave an update on cohesion. Hate crime has increased 47% - this could be because more reports are being submitted and additional categories are now included, such as misogyny. It was important that partners are not complacent and that cohesion remains a key priority for Nottingham.</p> <p>A number of events have taken place and vigils held over the last couple of months, including the Jo Cox Get Together community events and celebrations for Eid.</p> <p>Nottingham Citizens are undertaking a further listening exercise and would be interested in the views of school children, new and emerging communities, young people and students, and frontline staff. We need to be conscious of, and review what we are doing, and what is happening in our open spaces. CW added that we also need to keep a focus on those intractable problems around employment and education and groups that faced discrimination in these.</p> <p>SC felt it was important to communicate the strength of relationships and partnership working, such as the faith groups in the city working together. SM added that it was important that all groups and organisations should be communicating the same messages regarding cohesion and suggested that Toni Price be invited to a future meeting to discuss the Nottingham Together work. DT added that businesses would also like some positive messages to promote about Nottingham and what we do well – perhaps linked to Citizens Survey outcomes. SM agreed to circulate a link to a report on missing Muslims.</p>	<p>NC</p> <p>SH</p> <p>SM</p>
<p>10.</p>	<p>News and Open Space</p>	

	<p>It was agreed to send a note of support to survivors of the Grenfell disaster.</p> <p>MKB commented on the strength of cross-sectoral partnership in the city and the accountability this gave.</p> <p>It was agreed that it would be useful to have an update on Further Education and the college merger to a future meeting and consider appointing a representative to the Board.</p>	<p>NC</p> <p>NC</p>
11.	<p>Forward Plan (attached)</p> <p>CW agreed to chair the September meeting, as JT would be away.</p> <p>Items to include on forward plan:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Further IICSA briefing in 6 months Funding Group to start meeting in Autumn Autumn STP and Nottingham Plan/System Change post 2020 consultation events Nottingham Together briefing Further Education and Nottingham College update 	LM

DRAFT