



**Minutes of the Board Meeting  
13<sup>th</sup> November 2015  
10.00am – 12 Noon  
LH1.32 Loxley House**

**Present:**

Jane Todd – Chair of One Nottingham Board - **JT**  
 Nigel Cooke, Director, One Nottingham – **NC**  
 Cllr Jon Collins, Leader of the Council - **JC**  
 Prof Cecile Wright, Nottingham Equal VCS BME Advocate – **CW**  
 Candida Brudenell – Assistant Chief Executive, Strategy and Commissioning Directorate - **CB**  
 James Russell, Experian, Director - **JR**  
 Mike Khouri-Bent, Business Representative - **MB**  
 Hugh Porter, Clinical Commissioning Group, Nottingham - **HP**  
 Andrew Redfern, Framework HA, VCS Advocate - **AR**  
 Sajid Mohammed – Karimia Institute, VCS Faith Advocate – **SM**  
 Mike Manley – Temporary Chief Superintendent, Nottinghamshire Police **MM**

**In attendance:**

Lorel Manders (notes)  
 Claire Richmond  
 Dean Goodburn  
 Louis Morin  
 Chris Henning

**Apologies received:**

Ian Curryer, Chief Executive, Nottingham City Council  
 Cllr David Mellen (Chair), Portfolio Holder for Children’s Services, Nottingham City Council - **DM**  
 Chris Eyre, Chief Constable, Nottinghamshire Police  
 Audra Wynter, Wyntax, Business Representative - **AW**  
 David Tilly, Geldards, Business Representative – **DT**

Item	Agenda Item	Action
1.	<p><b>Welcome and Apologies for Absence</b></p> <p>JT welcomed everyone to the meeting and introductions were made. Apologies for absence were noted.</p>	
2.	<p><b>Minutes and Matters Arising from the Board meeting of 11<sup>th</sup> September 2015</b></p> <p>The minutes were agreed as an accurate record of the meeting. There were no matters arising which were not already included on the agenda.</p>	

<p><b>3.</b></p>	<p><b>Nottingham Plan – 5 year performance report</b></p> <p>NC &amp; DG introduced the presentation on the Nottingham Plan and invited comments and questions.</p> <p>JT commented that during her conversations an issue raised by Board Members is the lack of influence they have over the partners delivering the plan, how do we get the balance right about accountability and responsibility?</p> <p>Questions were posed about children and education. In the Council’s Plan focus is on Nottingham children rather than the boundary. CW commented that we need to focus on what’s happening in boundary.</p> <p>It was agreed to circulate the Council Plan and to have an education focussed discussion at a later board meeting.</p> <p>SM was interested in current trends, particularly white working class and some BME. CW asked if information is available on the impact on different demographics, and gender, age etc.</p> <p>Poverty was raised as a recurring issue. Children in poverty in the plan includes in work poverty. The question was raised about whether jobs of higher value benefiting City residents?</p> <p>The question was raised about the added value of the Overview and Scrutiny/ ON Joint Panel? The comment was made about the capacity of the Board and how best to have a challenge around the targets in the plan. It was agreed that this links to the discussion of the Board role as a part of the review which JT is currently undertaking.</p> <p>HP asked are we too generous on some targets – smoking and teenage pregnancy – by identifying them positively, in reality these could be slipping – This is a challenge to the HWB. There could also be a cultural impact on the teenage pregnancy figures.</p> <p>The plan will need to consider the ‘unknowns’, particularly among emerging communities. We need to be better at understanding rapid change in communities and adopting a joint approach.</p> <p>A discussion took place but about how ambitious we are, how data is audited and where we want to prioritise. Could have external verification of data and more rigorous challenge. We could pick certain ones for a “deep dive”. It was confirmed that the data comes from a number of reliable sources some of which are local and some national.</p> <p>NC – In summary: Many of the PIs are being dealt with in the appropriate forums. It will therefore be important in the future that we look at impact, It was agreed that the Board receive a twice yearly report on the impact of the Nottingham plan.</p>	<p>CB &amp; NC</p> <p>DG &amp; NC</p>
------------------	--	---------------------------------------

<p><b>4.</b></p>	<p><b>One Nottingham Review - Interim Report</b></p> <p>CR introduced the interim report on the ON review that has been taking place. She emphasized the successes of ON and informed the Board that she had received overwhelmingly positive feedback and added value of ON. There was less feedback on Nottingham Plan and priorities sitting behind that. She had made some comparisons with other areas who regard ON as an example of good practice.</p> <p>The message is clear that the ONB continues to focus on poverty and the City, and that ONCOG could work on public service change and devolution. There is an appetite for a lighter touch Nottingham Plan – focus on impact with less bureaucracy. Concentrate on vision and focus on some key things.</p> <p>Board members made a number of comments:</p> <p>A need for tangible delivery and actions for board members – some things the Board can focus on. A continuing focus on poverty. The Board is a forum for a holistic view but also members can add value to specific projects. The Board is able to take a step back from the pressure of delivery. Board members would welcome being given actions?</p> <p>What about the capacity of the team, is it about a small team successful at getting wider investment in city? How to better engage volunteers?</p> <p>Communications - the board members feel that they don't get anything special. In the catch all community bulletin. What is the impact of the Board and how do we communicate it?</p> <p>AR – What is the role of voluntary sector representatives and how can you represent a disparate sector. Can take a strategic view – give support to initiatives. How do we use other sectors to provide community capacity, for instance in the faith sector?</p> <p>The Board composition was raised, could we have a communications person, eg BBC East Midlands, and is the balance of the members right?</p> <p>It is important for ONB to have a view and say what the vision is that people can support but it needs to be a more structured approach.</p>	
<p><b>5.</b></p>	<p><b>Devolution Update</b></p> <p>CH gave an update on the current position with devolution, ongoing negotiations and described the bid. The Government is committed to devolution, there is a local commitment but not on any terms. JC provided more detailed comments and answered questions.</p> <p>The Combined Authority, D2N2, and powers of elected mayor still to be defined. There remain challenges around governance and no firm</p>	

	<p>date. There is the potential for control of funding and pooling existing plus longer term funding settlement – the Game Share pot has the potential to help with borrowing.</p> <p>There will be boundary issues in some areas e.g. FE provision which will need to be worked through. If the deal brings an additional tier of bureaucracy, we need to look at removal of the Police and Crime Commissioners so we are looking at a similar model as Manchester. JT questioned how to encourage diversity within the new governance? Will need structure around input from partners and other sectors / public service organisations. Discussions are starting within other organisations e.g. the police and health.</p> <p>It is felt that people would like to understand the vision of what it will look like and it is important that ON is strong to be part of new world. It was agreed that ON will give endorsement. JT to write to government.</p> <p>The Board asked to be kept up to date of progress.</p>	JT
6.	<p><b>Nottingham Awards Update.</b></p> <p>Young Creative Awards Re-branding and Business launch on 6 January at Antenna. We are looking for support from Board Members for judging panels. CW, JR volunteered dates of panels will be circulated as soon as they are available.</p>	NC,CW & JR
7.	<p><b>News and open space – round table for partners to update the Board</b></p> <p>Experian and BITC are looking together at workplace poverty.</p> <p>MM – Force awaiting CSR – looking at closer working with Leicestershire and Northamptonshire. – looking at what to do differently.</p> <p>SM – refugees – multi agency forum and NCC met there will be a big information event around 6/7 December</p>	
8.	<p><b>Forward Plan</b> - The Forward Plan will be put on to the website.</p>	
9.	<p><b>Dates of Future Meetings:</b>  <b>All meetings will run from 10.30 to 12.30</b>  15<sup>th</sup> January 2016 – 10:30 – 12:30 – LH2.11, Loxley House</p>	